JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
OWEN HARTY				MILLENNIUM HOTEL GROUP LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Broward  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Daniel A. Pallen, Esquin THOMAS B. BACON, P. 114 W. Front Street, Med	Э А.	(484) 550-7542		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government   ✓ 3 Federal Question  Plaintiff   (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State	F DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State		Principal Place		
				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT		ORTS		DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Mailler Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ roduct Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage Product Liability	TY 71	15 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	70	Leave Act	EEDED AL TAV CHITE	□ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General	_	0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  ■ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act  896 Arbitration  899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of	
□ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		IMMIGRATION  2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)						
□ 1 Original □ 2 Ren	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		stated or 5 Transfe bened Anothe (specify)	rred from	n - Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 42 USC Sec. 121 Brief description of ca	81		Oo not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  □ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			D	DEMANDS  CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  0.00  JURY DEMAND:  Yes XNo			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE Beetlestor			DOCKET NUMBER 2		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO		DE RECORD	DOCKET NUMBER Z	. 10-04-00004	
05/21/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		By:	e S		iniel A. Pallen		
	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

### Case 2:19-cv-02275-WB Document 1 Filed 05/23/19 Page 2 of 10

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

2275

DESIGNATION FORM
(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	ess of Plaintiff: 6190 Woodlands Blvd, Apt. 102, Tamarac FL 33319							
Address of Defendant: 1208 Walnut Street, Philadelphia PA 19107								
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction	1209 Malnut Street Philadelphia PA 10107							
RELATED CASE, IF AMY:								
Case Number: 2-18-cv-03554	Judge: Beetlestone	Date Terminated: 05/02/2019						
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:								
Is this case related to property included in previously terminated action in this court	an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No No						
Does this case involve the same issue of f pending or within one year previously ten	fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit minated action in this court?	Yes No No						
	ingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier r previously terminated action of this court?	Yes No V						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas case filed by the same individual?	corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.								
DATE 05/24/2019 PA 207001								
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I D. # (if applicable)						
CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only)								
CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction C	iases:						
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	t, and All Other Contracts  1. Insurance Contracts  2. Airplane Persona  3. Assault, Defama  4. Marine Personal  5. Motor Vehicle P	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify) ty  y  Asbestos						
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	at, and All Other Contracts  1. Insurance Contracts  2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle P 6. Other Personal II 7 Products Liabilit 8. Products Liabilit 9. All other Diversing (Please special)	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify) ty  y  Asbestos						
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): Americans v	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify  3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the discontinuation is to remove the case from eligibility.	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify) ty - Asbestos tty Cases						
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): Americans w	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility.  Accounsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify  3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the disusive of interest and costs:	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify) ty - Asbestos tty Cases						
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): Americans were presented by the contract of t	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility.  Accounsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify  3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the disusive of interest and costs:	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify) ty - Asbestos tty Cases						
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): Americans w    Daniel A. Pallen   Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exchanges   Relief other than monetary damages	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility.  Accounsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify  3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the disusive of interest and costs:	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify) ty ty - Asbestos tity Cases  for arbitration.)  amages recoverable in this civil action case						

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

**CIVIL ACTION** 

OWEN HARTY

MILLENNIUM HOTEL	44.00.10					
MILLENNIUM HOTEL	GROUP LLC	NO.				
In accordance with the Civil Just plaintiff shall complete a Case M filing the complaint and serve a co side of this form.) In the event designation, that defendant shall, the plaintiff and all other parties, to which that defendant believes	Ianagement Track Designation opy on all defendants. (See § 1 that a defendant does not ag, with its first appearance, sub, a Case Management Track D	Form in all civil cases at the time to the plan set forth on the rece with the plaintiff regarding to the clerk of court and ser	me of everse g said ve on			
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLL	OWING CASE MANAGEM	ENT TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brou	ght under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 th	rough § 2255.	( )			
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required	to be designated for arbitration	under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving of exposure to asbestos.	claims for personal injury or pa	coperty damage from	( )			
	s that do not fall into tracks (a) applex and that need special or to this form for a detailed expl	ntense management by	( )			
(f) Standard Management – Case	es that do not fall into any one	of the other tracks.	(1)			
05/21/2019 Date	Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff, DWENT H Attorney for	HARTY			
(484) 550 7542	(484) 550 7532	- dpallen@pallen	law.com			
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OWEN HARTY, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

v. : Case No.

MILLENNIUM HOTEL GROUP LLC d/b/a Rodeway Inn Center City Philadelphia, a Pennsylvania Limited Liability Company,

Defendant.

#### **COMPLAINT**

(Injunctive Relief Demanded)

Plaintiff, OWEN HARTY, Individually, on his behalf and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated, (sometimes referred to as "Plaintiff"), hereby sues the Defendant, MILLENNIUM HOTEL GROUP LLC d/b/a Rodeway Inn Center City Philadelphia, a Pennsylvania Limited Liability Company, (sometimes referred to as "Defendant"), for Injunctive Relief, and attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. ("ADA").

- Plaintiff is a Florida resident, lives in Broward County, is *sui juris*, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Plaintiff is unable to engage in the major life activity of walking. Instead, Plaintiff is bound to ambulate in a wheelchair.
- 2. Plaintiff is an advocate of the rights of similarly situated disabled persons and is a "tester" for the purpose of asserting his civil rights and monitoring, ensuring, and

determining whether places of public accommodation and their websites are in compliance with the ADA.

- Defendant owns, leases, leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104. The place of public accommodation that the Defendant owns, operates, leases or leases to is a place of lodging known as Rodeway Inn Center City situated at 1208 WALNUT ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19107, and located in the County of Philadelphia, (hereinafter "Property").
- 4. Venue is properly located in the EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA because venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. The Defendant's property is located in and does business within this judicial district.
- Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from the Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. See also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.
- As the owner, lessor, lessee, or operator of the subject premises, Defendant is required to comply with the ADA. As such, Defendant is required to ensure that it's place of lodging is in compliance with the standards applicable to places of public accommodation, as set forth in the regulations promulgated by the Department Of Justice. Said regulations are set forth in the Code Of Federal Regulations, the Americans With Disabilities Act Architectural Guidelines ("ADAAGs"), and the 2010 ADA Standards, incorporated by reference into the ADA. These regulations

impose requirements pertaining to places of public accommodation, including places of lodging, to ensure that they are accessible to disabled individuals.

7. More specifically, 28 C.F.R. Section 36.302(e)(1) imposes the following requirement:

**Reservations made by places of lodging.** A public accommodation that owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of lodging shall, with respect to reservations made by any means, including by telephone, in-person, or through a third party -

- (i) Modify its policies, practices, or procedures to ensure that individuals with disabilities can make reservations for accessible guest rooms during the same hours and in the same manner as individuals who do not need accessible rooms;
- (ii) Identify and describe accessible features in the hotels and guest rooms offered through its reservations service in enough detail to reasonably permit individuals with disabilities to assess independently whether a given hotel or guest room meets his or her accessibility needs;
- (iii) Ensure that accessible guest rooms are held for use by individuals with disabilities until all other guest rooms of that type have been rented and the accessible room requested is the only remaining room of that type;
- (iv) Reserve, upon request, accessible guest rooms or specific types of guest rooms and ensure that the guest rooms requested are blocked and removed from all reservations systems; and
- (v) Guarantee that the specific accessible guest room reserved through its reservations service is held for the reserving customer, regardless of whether a specific room is held in response to reservations made by others.
- 8. These regulations became effective March 15, 2012.
- 9. Defendant, either itself or by and through a third party, implemented, operates, controls and or maintains a website for the Property which contains an online reservations system. This website is located at www.rodewayinncc.com. This term also includes all websites owned and operated by Defendant or by third parties, e.g. www.hotels.com, to book or reserve guest accommodations at the hotel. The

purpose of this website is so that members of the public may reserve guest

accommodations and review information pertaining to the goods, services, features, facilities, benefits, advantages, and accommodations of the Property. As such, this website is subject to the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Section 36.302(e).

Prior to the commencement of this lawsuit, Plaintiff visited the website for the purpose of reviewing and assessing the accessible features at the Property and ascertain whether they meet the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Section 36.302(e) and his accessibility needs. However, Plaintiff was unable to do so because Defendant failed to comply with the requirements set forth in 28 C.F.R. Section 36.302(e). Plaintiff was unable to determine if there were accessible rooms with roll-out showers. As a result, Plaintiff was deprived the same goods, services, features, facilities, benefits, advantages, and accommodations of the Property available to the general public.

- In the near future, Plaintiff intends to revisit Defendant's website and/or online reservations system in order to test it for compliance with 28 C.F.R. Section 36.302(e) and/or to utilize the website to reserve a guest room and otherwise avail himself of the goods, services, features, facilities, benefits, advantages, and accommodations of the Property.
- Plaintiff is continuously aware that the subject website remains non-compliant and that it would be a futile gesture to revisit the website as long as those violations exist unless he is willing to suffer additional discrimination.

- free of discrimination and deptive his of the information required to make meaningful choices for travel. Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, frustration and humiliation as the result of the discriminatory conditions present at Defendant's website. By continuing to operate a website with discriminatory conditions, Defendant contributes to Plaintiff's sense of isolation and segregation and deprives Plaintiff the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges and/or accommodations available to the general public. By encountering the discriminatory conditions at Defendant's website, and knowing that it would be a futile gesture to return to the website unless he is willing to endure additional discrimination, Plaintiff is deprived of the same advantages, privileges, goods, services and benefits readily available to the general public. By maintaining a website with violations, Defendant deprives Plaintiff the equality of opportunity offered to the general public.
- 14. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of the Defendant's discrimination until the Defendant is compelled to modify its website to comply with the requirements of the ADA and to continually monitor and ensure that the subject website remains in compliance.
- 15. Plaintiff has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendant's non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this website.

  Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that he will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by the Defendant.

- The Defendant has discriminated against the Plaintiff by denying him access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the subject website.
- 17. The Plaintiff and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein.
- Defendant has discriminated against the Plaintiff by denying him access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of its place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302(e).

  Furthermore, the Defendant continues to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm.

  Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and is entitled to recover attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from the Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant

Plaintiff Injunctive Relief, including an order to require the Defendant to alter the subject website to make it readily accessible and useable to the Plaintiff and all other persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA and 28 C.F.R. Section 36.302(e); or by closing the website until such time as the Defendant cures its violations of the ADA.

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that the Defendant at the commencement of the subject lawsuit is in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 C.F.R. Section 36.302(e).
- b. Injunctive relief against the Defendant including an order to revise its website to comply with 28 C.F.R. Section 36.302(e) and to implement a policy to monitor and maintain the website to ensure that it remains in compliance with said requirement.
- c. An award of attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C.§ 12205.
- d. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under

  Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel A Pallen, Esquire (PA ID 207001)

THOMAS B. BACON, P.A.

114 W. Front Street

Media, PA 19063

(484) 550-7542

(484) 550-7532 fax

dpallen@pallenlaw.com